

Diagnostic accuracy of current sonographic criteria for the detection of outflow abnormalities in the internal jugular veins

M Simka, T Ludyga, P Latacz and M Kazibudzki

EUROMEDIC Specialist Clinics, Department of Vascular & Endovascular Surgery, Katowice, Poland

Abstract

Objectives: This study was aimed at evaluation of the diagnostic value of Doppler sonography for the assessment of abnormalities in the internal jugular veins (IJVs).

Method: A number of 116 IJVs were assessed in 58 patients with associated multiple sclerosis. Findings of Doppler sonography were compared with results of the reference test: catheter venography.

Results: At least one positive extracranial sonographic criterion suggesting venous abnormality was found in 92.2% of the assessed veins. Yet, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of sonography were low: 93.4%, 12.0%, 79.4% and 33.3% for at least one positive criterion, and for at least two positive criteria: 29.3%, 75.0%, 81.8% and 21.7%, respectively.

Conclusions: Our research has shown that currently used extracranial sonographic criteria for the detection of obstructive venous abnormalities in the IJVs are of limited diagnostic value. For the time being, diagnosis of this vascular pathology should be given using catheter venography.

Keywords: Doppler ultrasound; phlebography; venous malformation

Introduction

The so-called chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency (CCSVI), venous pathology that is characterized by stenoses of extracranial and extraspinal veins draining the brain and spinal cord, primarily the internal jugular veins (IJVs) and the azygous vein, associated with opening of collateral outflow routes and insufficient cerebral venous drainage^{1,2} and connection of this vascular abnormality with multiple sclerosis (MS), is being hotly debated within the scientific community.^{3–6} The hypothetical role for venous blockages in the pathogenesis of MS is not necessarily in contrast to the currently accepted autoimmune model of this disease, since it

is known, for example, that pathological venous outflows may trigger inflammatory reaction in other vascular territories.^{7,8} For the time being, the existence of venous abnormalities in MS patients is questioned by only a few researches. Still, prevalence of these vascular lesions is very differently reported. This study was aimed at the evaluation of diagnostic value of Doppler sonography for the assessment of abnormalities in the IJVs.

Methods

There were assessed 58 patients with clinically defined MS: 40 women and 18 men. The patients were aged 22–69 years with a median age of 50 years. This survey was the part of the clinical trial on diagnostics for CCSVI (Doppler sonography, magnetic resonance venography, catheter venography and plethysmography). The study was approved by the Bioethical Committee of the Regional Silesian Board of Physicians in Katowice, Poland (approval

Q1 Correspondence: M Simka, EUROMEDIC Specialist Clinics, Department of Vascular & Endovascular Surgery, Katowice, Poland.

Q2 Email: mariansimka@poczta.onet.pl

Accepted 2 February 2012

no. 20/2011). The study has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov; identifier: NCT01425554. All patients provided their written consent to undergo the procedures and diagnostic tests.

Catheter venography

Catheter venography, which actually was the first part of endovascular procedure in a case of detection of vascular pathology, was performed under mild sedation and local anaesthesia. Venographic examination of the veins was focused on the assessment of outflow abnormalities. Diluted (1:1) iodine-containing contrast, iodixanol (Visipaque[®], Q3 Amersham Health AS, Norway) was used, since in our opinion more concentrated dye can overshadow intraluminal defects.

Right femoral access was the preferred one and only in a case of unsuccessful catheterization of right femoral vein the left femoral access was used. We used the following angiographic catheters, depending on local anatomic conditions: Judkins Right (Balton, Poland); Imager II[™] (Boston Scientific, USA); VERT Beacon[®] Tip Torcon NB[®] Advantage Catheter (Cook Medical Q4 Inc., USA) and the following guidewires: 0.035 Q5 inch J-tip B.Braun Guidewire (B.Braun, Germany); 0.035 inch angle-tip hydrophilic Glidewire[®] (Terumo, Japan); 0.018 inch Hi-Torque Steelcore (Abbott Vascular, USA) and 0.018 inch V-18[™] Peripheral Guidewire (Boston Scientific).

Contrast was injected with hand, using low pressure. It was injected at different levels of the assessed veins and the radiograms were taken at different angles. Routinely, contrast was injected at the level of the jugular foramen, at the level of junction with facial vein, slightly cranially from the valve in the junction with brachiocephalic vein and sometimes, in doubtful cases, also caudally from this valve. Usually, each injection consisted of approximately 5 mL of diluted contrast. During the injection, the position of the tip of catheter inside or in proximity to a tributary was avoided, since it could produce a false picture of reflux via 'collaterals'. Similarly, too high pressure during the injection can – in our opinion – provoke the outflow of contrast towards intracranial sinuses or vertebral veins (VVs), which may inaccurately be interpreted as a backward flow.

Similar to the generally accepted venographic signs of impaired venous outflow in the other veins (like the iliac or axillary vein),^{9–12} the following venographic flow patterns were regarded as abnormal:

- No outflow through the vein; 166
- Venous outflow slowed down, i.e. a retention of injected contrast in the examined vein longer than one cardiac cycle; 167–169
- Reversed flow direction (reflux); 170
- Outflow through collaterals; 171
- Intraluminal structures (webs, septa or membranes), hypoplasia or narrowing of the vein compromising outflow, i.e. incurring the retention of injected contrast, reflux or collateral outflow; 172–176
- Prestenotic dilation of the vein associated with slowed down flow or reflux; 177–178
- Complete occlusion or agenesis of the vein. 179–180

Importantly, venous valves in the junction of IJV with brachiocephalic vein were recognized as pathological only if such a valve compromised the outflow in a similar way as other intraluminal structures.¹³ 181–185

Sonographic examination

Imaging and assessment of the IJVs using colour Doppler sonography was conducted with ultrasound machine GE LOGIQ-e with an 8 MHz Q6 linear probe. The probe applied minimal pressure to the skin to prevent undesired compression of the examined veins: the IJVs and VVs. We did not examine intracranial veins, since a special sonographic machine with dedicated software is needed to evaluate the flow in these veins properly.^{2,14} Examinations were performed with patients in the supine and sitting positions. IJVs were evaluated in three locations: 186–200

- In the valve area (junction with the brachiocephalic vein) – (J1); 201–202
- In the middle part of the vein – (J2); 203–204
- In the upper part of the vein (cranially from junction with the facial vein)–(J3). 205–206

The following parameters were evaluated in each of these locations and repeated in the supine and sitting positions: 207–211

- Flow direction (towards heart, reversed, bidirectional); 212–213
- Presence of reflux (flow towards brain) longer than 0.8 seconds; 214–215
- Absence of flow; 216
- Peak flow velocity; 217
- Presence of intraluminal defects (valve, septum, membrane); 218–219
- Cross-sectional area (CSA). 220

VVs were assessed in the middle part of the neck, in the best visible segment. VVs, similar to the IJVs, were examined in the supine and sitting positions, and the same parameters (except for the presence of intraluminal defects and CSA) were evaluated.

Finally, we evaluated our findings in the context of current sonographic criteria: proposed by Zamboni^{2,15} and the new criteria by expert panel of the International Society for Neurovascular Disease (ISNVD).¹⁶

Current sonographic criteria (Zamboni's criteria).¹⁷

- (1) *Reflux*: constant reflux (>0.8 seconds) in a single IJV or VV, in sitting or supine position;
- (2) *Stenosis/B-mode anomalies*: reduction of the CSA of IJV less than 0.3 cm² in both body positions, or presence of intraluminal defect (such as webs, septa or malformed valves);
- (3) *No flow*: absence of Doppler signal in IJV or VVs in both supine and upright body positions;
- Q7 (4) *Negative ΔCSA*: CSA of the IJV, which is greater in the sitting position than in the lying position, or appears unchanged despite change in posture;
- (5) *Reflux in intracranial veins (not evaluated in this study)*: reflux >0.5 seconds in the deep cerebral veins in the sitting and supine position.

ISNVD sonographic criteria.¹⁶

- (1) *Reflux*: bidirectional flow in the IJV in both postures, or bidirectional flow in one position with absence of flow in the other position;
- (2) *Stenosis/B-mode anomalies*: reduction of the CSA of IJV less than 0.3 cm² in the supine, or presence of an intraluminal defect combined with hemodynamic changes (increased velocity, absence of flow, reverse flow, etc.);
- (3) *No flow*: absence of Doppler signal in IJV or VVs in both supine and upright body positions, or in one posture but with bidirectional flow detected in the other position;
- (4) *Negative ΔCSA*: CSA of the IJV, which is greater in the sitting position than in the lying position, or appears unchanged despite change in posture.

Interpretation of sonographic findings

We regarded a criterion positive if required parameters were met in at least one segment of the IJV: J1, J2 or J3. We interpreted as reflux (Zamboni's

criterion 1) only completely reversed flow detected in the entire cross-section of the vein. In contrast to some authors, we did not interpret as the reflux a vortical flow, or artefacts produced by whirls in the valve cusps. Since it was very difficult to set a reasonable threshold between 'normal' and 'malformed' valves (Zamboni's *criterion 2*), we interpreted as intraluminal defects all sonographically detectable valves (this rather controversial approach is debated in the Discussion). Also, it was not possible to set such a threshold between 'normal' and 'increased' flow velocities (ISNVD *criterion 2*); therefore, we interpreted as the stenosis a presence of intraluminal defects combined with absent or reversed flow.

Results

Catheter venography

Pathologies were found in 91 out of 116 examined IJVs (78.4%). In 79 cases (86.8%), venous pathology comprised jugular valves compromising the outflow, in 11 cases (12.1%) such abnormal valves in combination with obstructive lesions in the middle and/or upper segments of the IJV and in one case (1.1%) external compression of the middle segment of IJV with normal jugular valve.

Venous outflow abnormalities in at least one IJV were found in 57 patients (98.3%), whereas in one patient (1.7%) no obvious venous pathology was found. Lesions in one IJV were found in 24 patients (41.4%); in 17 patients (29.3%) on the left and in seven patients (12.1%) on the right side. Lesions in both IJVs were revealed in 33 patients (56.9%).

There were also additional venographic findings: stenosis of the left brachiocephalic vein in two patients (3.4%) and significant stenosis of the azygous vein in one patient (1.7%).

Sonographic examination

Positive Zamboni's criteria were demonstrated in 107 of examined IJVs (92.2%): in 75 cases (64.7%) there was one positive criterion, in 21 cases (18.1%) two positive criteria and in 11 cases (9.5%) three positive criteria. Positive ISNVD criteria were revealed in 104 out of 116 examined veins (89.7%). In 54 cases (46.6%), there was one positive criterion, in 27 cases (23.3%) two positive criteria, in 20 cases (17.2%) three positive criteria and in three cases (2.6%) four positive ISNVD criteria. Prevalence of positive sonographic criteria is presented in Table 1. Parameters describing diagnostic

276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330

Table 1 Prevalence of positive sonographic findings in normal and pathological internal jugular veins (IJVs), including separate assessment of the middle part (J2) of the IJV

Catheter venography	The vein found normal in venography	The vein found abnormal in venography	J2 segment of the vein found abnormal in venography (%)
Doppler sonography			
Positive Zamboni's criterion: reflux	0%	0%	0
Positive Zamboni's criterion: stenosis/B-mode anomalies	88.0%	89.0%	40.7
Positive Zamboni's criterion: no flow	8.0%	20.9%	14.3
Positive Zamboni's criterion: negative Δ CSA	24.0%	23.1%	17.6
Positive ISNVD criterion: reflux	4.0%	28.6%	2.2
Positive ISNVD criterion: stenosis/B-mode anomalies	84.0%	82.4%	41.8
Positive ISNVD criterion: no flow	12.0%	33.0%	15.4
Positive ISNVD criterion: negative Δ CSA	24.0%	23.1%	17.6

CSA, cross-section area; ISNVD, International Society for Neurovascular Disease

Catheter venography was used as the reference test

accuracy of sonographic CCSVI criteria: sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, likelihood ratios of a positive and negative result according to the Bayes' theorem, and the kappa coefficient are given in Table 2.

Discussion

Under the conditions of this study, we have found that currently used sonographic criteria for CCSVI are of limited diagnostic accuracy, especially if compared with the results of catheter venography. Actually, some of these criteria were equally represented in the healthy and abnormal veins, while the others could not be demonstrated at all (Table 1). Consequently, statistical coefficients characterizing diagnostic accuracy were unacceptably low (Table 2).

These weak points of sonographic assessment of CCSVI will be further discussed.

The use of catheter angiography – a gold standard for the assessment of vascular pathologies – as reference test for the detection of IJV abnormalities could be seen as the strong point of our study. Catheter venography is far more reliable and much less operator-dependent than other diagnostic tests for the assessment of venous flows and anatomy. Still, the use of venography is somewhat weakened by the fact that flow assessment in the IJV territory is a new field of expertise. Probably some valve pathologies were misdiagnosed, since diagnostic catheter inserted in retrograde manner (from the groin) may artificially change morphology of the jugular valve. Besides, we used hand injections of venographic contrast. At the moment, there is no clear consensus on whether during evaluation of the

Table 2 Diagnostic values of sonographic CCSVI criteria, including likelihood ratios according to the Bayes' theorem and the Cohen's kappa coefficient

	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive and negative predictive values	Likelihood ratios of a positive and negative result according to the Bayes' theorem	Kappa (κ) coefficient
Diagnostic value of Zamboni's and ISNVD sonographic criteria					
At least one positive Zamboni's criterion	93.4%	12.0%	79.4%/33.3%	93.3%/12.1%	0.136
At least 2 positive Zamboni's criteria	29.3%	75.0%	81.8%/21.7%	94.2%/7.1%	-0.477
At least one positive ISNVD criterion	90.1%	12.0%	78.8%/25.0%	93.1%/8.4%	0.106
At least 2 positive ISNVD criteria	47.3%	72.0%	86.0%/27.3%	95.7%/9.4%	-0.297
Diagnostic value of each sonographic criterion					
Zamboni's criterion: reflux	0%	100%	0%/21.6%	0%/7.1%	-0.784
Zamboni's criterion: stenosis/B-mode anomalies	89.0%	12.0%	78.6%/23.1%	93.0%/7.7%	0.096
Zamboni's criterion: no flow	20.9%	92.0%	90.5%/24.2%	97.2%/8.1%	-0.563
Zamboni's criterion: negative Δ CSA	23.1%	76.0%	77.8%/21.3%	92.0%/6.8%	-0.563
ISNVD criterion: reflux	28.6%	96.0%	96.3%/27.0%	98.9%/9.1%	-0.532
ISNVD criterion: stenosis/B-mode anomalies	82.4%	16.0%	78.1%/20.0%	92.8%/6.4%	0.036
ISNVD criterion: no flow	33.0%	88.0%	90.9%/26.5%	97.3%/9.1%	-0.439
ISNVD criterion: negative Δ CSA	23.1%	76.0%	77.8%/21.3%	92.0%/6.8%	-0.563

CSA, cross-section area; ISNVD, International Society for Neurovascular Disease; CCSVI, chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency

IJVs contrast should be hand or pressure injected. Hand injections are performed using smaller volumes of contrast under lower pressure. Pressure injectors are usually higher volume and higher pressure. There are proponents for both approaches. While hand injection mimics physiological venous flow, pressure injectors are more accurate, reproducible and make some flow-related analyses quantifiable. There are some modern injectors that allow low-pressure administration of contrast and perhaps such an equipment should be preferentially used. Moreover, for the time being it remains unclear what should be interpreted as normal IJV and what as pathology. While some doctors use an arbitrary definition of 50% luminal restriction of the vein when compared with nominal diameter of this blood vessel, others opt for interpreting such a narrowing as pathological only if accompanied by other flow abnormalities (for example, collateral outflow). Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that our venographic assessment of the IJVs was not always accurate. Probably narrowing of the IJVs should be objectively quantified with the use of intravascular ultrasound (IVUS).

There are also some potential limitations of this study, which are related to sonographic assessment of the IJVs. Examination of the area of junction of jugular vein with brachiocephalic vein (J1) in some patients can be challenging, especially in obese individuals or patients presenting with deep supraclavicular fossa. In the cases of difficult anatomy we used more diagnostic gel and actually in this patient series an adequate visualization of the J1 segment with linear probe was always feasible. An alternative solution is to use small convex probe, which makes appropriate insonation of this area much easier. The other weak point of our study is a lack of sonographic assessment of intracranial veins (one of the Zamboni's criteria). However, taking into account high rates of false-positive findings of the remaining criteria (Table 1), diagnostic accuracy of the whole set of five Zamboni's criteria cannot be significantly improved, even if the assessment of intracranial veins were found highly sensitive and specific. In addition, in this patient series we did not find an individual presenting with Zamboni's criterion 1 (reflux, i.e. a constant backward flow longer than 0.8 seconds). We think that such long-lasting refluxes that are reported in the literature in most of the cases were not real, but actually represented sonographic artefacts resulting from vortical flow. A careful evaluation of doubtful areas exhibiting such 'refluxes' (by changing the angle of insonation, widening the Doppler gate, etc.) in almost every

case confirmed vortical flow or a twirl within the valve and not a reversed flow. We found reversed flow in IJVs with reflux time >0.8 seconds very infrequently, in less than 1% of CCSVI individuals and not in this patient series (importantly, this caveat applies to the evaluation of IJV at normal breathing, and not during Valsalva, when reflux is a quite common finding). The other questionable point of our study is our interpretation as intraluminal defects all sonographically detectable valves. Taking into account the results of this study presented in Table 1, our approach (i.e. interpreting any visible valve as abnormal) does not look correct. Intravascular defects were equally demonstrated in the veins that appeared normal and abnormal in venography. However, published research and guidelines do not give clear definition of such pathological intraluminal structures. Undoubtedly, this part of sonographic assessment of IJVs needs clarification and perhaps IVUS, instead of standard sonography, should be used to distinguish normal from pathological valves.

At the moment our knowledge about IJV anatomy and physiology is rather scarce. Venographic anatomy of normal jugular vein system has been thoroughly described in the recent review by Werner *et al.*¹⁸ Most of the previous studies focused on localization and diameter of these veins, which was of importance during their catheterization in critically ill or dialysed patients. Troianos *et al.*¹⁹ examined IJVs in a group of 1136 patients. He did not observe occluded or severely narrowed veins. Denys *et al.*²⁰ found patent and normal-sized right IJVs in 96.4% of 928 critically ill patients (in this particular group infrequently seen occluded IJVs were suspected to be thrombosed after many prior cannulations). Similarly, Lin *et al.*²¹ found 1.0% prevalence of occluded veins in a group of 104 uremic patients. Other studies focused on jugular valve incompetence.^{22–26} Competent jugular valves are thought to play an important role in establishing physiological venous outflow from the brain in the settings of increased intrathoracic pressure (coughing, Valsalva, blunt chest injury, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, etc.). Incompetent jugular valves may play a role in the pathophysiology of transient global amnesia^{27,28} and transient monocular blindness.^{29,30} There are also a few reports on other structural abnormalities of the IJVs,^{31–33} with uncertain meaning of these anomalies.

In contrast to the above-mentioned pathologies of the IJVs, which are not primarily associated with compromised venous outflow (except for iatrogenic thrombosis), CCSVI patients present with vascular lesions of obstructive characteristics. In most of

551 the cases these lesions are not related to thrombotic
 552 occlusions. In these patients the most prevalent
 553 abnormality is the stenotic, 'over-competent'
 554 jugular valve. Using invasive diagnostics (catheter
 555 venography) about 90% of MS patients can be
 556 demonstrated such venous occlusive lesions.^{13,34–36}
 557 Stenotic valves are usually seen in combination
 558 with collapsed middle and/or upper parts of the
 559 IJV. Organic stenoses of the IJVs – not related to
 560 the valve apparatus – are rather infrequently seen.
 561 Thus, majority of CCSVI cases present with valvular
 562 and functional venous abnormalities. Such a unique
 563 vascular pathology is not often seen in the venous
 564 system and no established, non-invasive method
 565 for the assessment of such conditions currently
 566 exists. Unfortunately, all published studies on the
 567 topic of CCSVI were done primarily in the context
 568 of potential association of this vascular pathology
 569 with MS. From the scientific point of view, however,
 570 it seems more accurate to test the validity of one
 571 test (e.g. Doppler sonography) against an established
 572 gold standard test (e.g. catheter angiography) and
 573 not against presence or absence of MS, since the
 574 assumption that there exists a real causation
 575 between MS and CCSVI may be proven wrong. For
 576 the time being, the only published study that has
 577 compared results of Doppler sonography with cath-
 578 eter venography comes from *Zamboni's* group.² All
 579 65 patients reported in this paper presented with
 580 pathologies revealed by both tests. Unfortunately,
 581 the authors gave no information about lateralization
 582 of Doppler abnormalities and the side of pathologies
 583 demonstrated with venography. In addition to this
 584 pioneer study, several other papers, focusing on
 585 Doppler sonographic assessment of the IJVs in MS
 586 patients, have been published. Still, these studies
 587 did not compare Doppler sonography with reference
 588 test, but rather looked at prevalence of Doppler
 589 abnormalities in MS versus non-MS patients. Inter-
 590 estingly, those studies reported very different fre-
 591 quencies of CCSVI detected in MS patients: from
 592 100% by *Zamboni*,^{2,17} through about 90% by
 593 *Al-Omari* and *Rousan*³⁷ and *Simka et al.*,³⁸ 50–60%
 594 by *Zivadinov et al.*³⁹ and *Centonze*,⁴⁰ only 10–20%
 595 by *Baracchini*,⁴¹ *Marder*⁴² and *Auriel*,⁴³ and 0% by
 596 *Doepf*,⁴⁴ *Tanaka*⁴⁵ and *Tsiougoulis*.⁴⁶ Probably these
 597 very inconsistent results were not a consequence of
 598 differences between the cohorts assessed. Rather,
 599 they resulted from small, but relevant, differences
 600 between protocols and interpretations of the findings.
 601 For example, if the authors have examined only the
 602 middle part of the IJV (J2), where prevalence of posi-
 603 tive criteria for CCSVI is even lower than in healthy
 604 veins (Table 1), most of the lesions would not be
 605 detected. Only *Centonze et al.*⁴⁰ and *Zivadinov*

606 *et al.*³⁹ used the same protocol and sonographic
 607 machine as *Zamboni*, and the sonographers were
 608 trained by his group. But even these authors inter-
 609 preted some of sonographic findings differently,
 610 which undoubtedly resulted in contrasting final
 611 results. There is also a recent report by *Monti*
 612 *et al.*,⁴⁷ where authors using Doppler ultrasound
 613 found non-physiologic cerebral venous outflow
 614 pattern in majority of MS patients (in the supine pos-
 615 ition outflow through vertebral, instead of jugular
 616 veins), contrasting with the normal pattern (outflow
 617 through jugular pathway) in most of the healthy con-
 618 trols.

619 A high prevalence of venous abnormalities in MS
 620 patients, as has already been demonstrated using
 621 catheter venography,^{13,34,36,48} makes the search for
 622 a proper non-invasive test rather difficult. Since
 623 venous pathologies are present in majority of MS
 624 patients, theoretically any diagnostic criterion will
 625 reveal high sensitivity and high Bayesian likelihood
 626 ratio. In a case of pathology that reveals high preva-
 627 lence, a reliable test should also be characterized by
 628 high specificity and likelihood ratio of negative
 629 results according to the Bayes' theorem that is not
 630 much lower than actual prevalence of normal find-
 631 ings (in the case of this study it should not be much
 632 lower than 21.6%). Besides, such a reliable test
 633 should exhibit high Cohen's kappa coefficient (tra-
 634 ditionally it is interpreted that the kappa higher
 635 than 0.5 indicates a good agreement between the
 636 tests; it should be remembered, however, that in a
 637 case of high prevalence of pathology this threshold
 638 indicating a good agreement is lower than 0.5 and
 639 in the case of this study the value of 0.4 looks
 640 reasonably).⁴⁹ Unfortunately, in our study the
 641 kappas of all evaluated criteria were well below
 642 0.4. In several cases these coefficients were even
 643 negative. Thus, their diagnostic values should be
 644 defined as poor or – in the cases of negative
 645 kappa – even worse than that expected to see by
 646 chance alone.

647 In addition to difficulties in diagnosing CCSVI
 648 properly, understanding clinical significance of
 649 these venous occlusions is not easy.^{4–6,50} Some
 650 extrapolations could be done from other venous
 651 obstructive syndromes (occlusion of hepatic veins
 652 in Budd–Chiari syndrome or stenosis of iliac vein
 653 in May–Thurner syndrome), but pathophysiology
 654 of the brain and cerebral circulation seems to be
 655 far more complex than those of the liver or the leg.

656 Our knowledge about prevalence of CCSVI in
 657 non-MS population is even more limited. Of as
 658 yet, a high prevalence of significant obstructions
 659 in the IJV in non-MS individuals was not reported,
 660 but this problem has not been studied thoroughly.

Although Zamboni *et al.*² has performed venographic assessment of the IJVs and the azygous vein in a small group of non-MS patients and found no venous malformations, his findings should be confirmed by other researches.

It could be summarized that our research has shown that currently used sonographic criteria for the detection of obstructive venous abnormalities in IJVs, the so-called CCSVI, are of limited diagnostic value. Perhaps, a set of reliable criteria for sonographic assessment of CCSVI could be established, but such criteria undoubtedly should differ from those currently proposed. In addition, data on sonographic and angiographic assessment of IJVs in non-MS individuals are too scarce and too inconsistent to draw a sound conclusion. Obviously, more research should be conducted in this field.⁵¹

Competing interests

MS received publication fees from Servier International; received speaker fees from American Access Care; received congress costs reimbursement from Esaote International; is employed in the hospital, where the treatments for CCSVI are patient-paid; has applied for research grant on CCSVI sponsored by Polish government (application not yet accepted). TL is employed in the hospital, where the treatments for CCSVI are patient-paid; is the owner of patent on stent design that potentially could be used for the treatment of venous lesions; the stent is not yet available in the market; family member is the owner of hospital where the treatments for CCSVI are patient-paid. PL is employed in the hospital, where the treatments for CCSVI are patient-paid. MK is employed in the hospital, where the treatments for CCSVI are patient-paid.

References

- Zamboni P, Galeotti R. The chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency syndrome. *Phlebology* 2010;**25**:269–79
- Zamboni P, Galeotti R, Menegatti E, *et al.* Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in patients with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2009;**80**:392–9
- Q8 Awad AM, Marder E, Milo R, Stúve O. Multiple sclerosis and chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency: a critical review. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2011; doi: 10.1177/1756285611405565
- Haacke EM. Chronic cerebral spinal venous insufficiency in multiple sclerosis. *Expert Rev Neurother* 2011;**11**:5–9
- Weir B. Multiple sclerosis – a vascular etiology? *Can J Neurol Sci* 2010;**37**:745–57
- Zivadinov R, Ramanathan M, Dolic K, *et al.* Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in multiple sclerosis: diagnostic, pathogenetic, clinical and treatment perspective. *Expert Rev Neurother* 2011;**11**:1277–94
- Bergan JJ, Schmid-Schönbein GW, Smith PD, Nicolaides AN, Boisseau MR, Eklof B. Chronic venous disease. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**355**:488–98
- Simka M. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of venous leg ulcers development – the ‘puzzle’ theory. *Int Angiol* 2010;**29**:1–19
- Neglen P. Chronic venous obstruction: diagnostic considerations and therapeutic role of percutaneous iliac stenting. *Vascular* 2007;**15**:273–80. <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/567033>
- Antani M, Siskin GP. Thoracic outlet syndrome imaging. *Medscape Reference*. <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/418670-overview>
- Lee SA, Chung HH, Lee SH, *et al.* Venogram of the upper extremity using the tourniquet technique for the evaluation of central vein patency: a comparison to conventional and CO₂ venogram. *J Korean Soc Radiol* 2011;**65**:61–8
- Cockett FB, Thomas ML, Negus D. Iliac vein compression – its relation to iliofemoral thrombosis and the post-thrombotic syndrome. *BMJ* 1967;**2**:14–9
- Ludyga T, Kazibudzuki M, Simka M, *et al.* Endovascular treatment for chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency: is the procedure safe? *Phlebology* 2010;**25**:286–95
- Tortolli P, Ricci S, Andreuccetti F, Forzoni L. Detection of chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency through multigate quality Doppler profiles. *Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS)* 2010;1190–3. doi: 10.1109/ULTSYM.2010.5935623
- Menegatti E, Genova V, Tessari M, *et al.* The reproducibility of colour Doppler in chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency associated with multiple sclerosis. *Int Angiol* 2010;**29**:121–6
- Zamboni P, *et al.* Screening for chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency (CCSVI) using ultrasound. Q11 Recommendations for a protocol. *Funct Neurol* 2011;**4** (in press)
- Zamboni P, Menegatti E, Galeotti R, *et al.* The value of cerebral Doppler venous haemodynamics in the assessment of multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2009;**282**:21–7
- Werner JD, Siskin GP, Mandato K, Englander M, Herr A. Review of venous anatomy for venographic interpretation in chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2011; doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2011.08.018
- Troianos CA, Kuwik RJ, Pasqual JR, Lim AJ, Odasso DP. Internal jugular vein and carotid artery anatomic relation as determined by ultrasonography. *Anesthesiology* 1996;**85**:43–8
- Denys BG, Uretsky BF, Reddy PS. Ultrasound-assisted cannulation of the internal jugular vein: a perspective comparison to the external landmark-guided technique. *Circulation* 1993;**87**:1557–62
- Lin BS, Kong CW, Tarng DC, Huang TP, Tang GJ. Anatomical variation of the internal jugular vein and its impact on temporary haemodialysis vascular access: an ultrasonographic survey in uraemic patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1998;**13**:134–8
- Velecchi D, Bacchi D, Gulisano M, *et al.* Internal jugular valves: an assessment of prevalence, morphology and competence by color Doppler echography in 240 healthy subjects. *Ital J Anat Embryol* 2010;**115**:185–9

- 771 23 Fisher J, Vaghaiwalla F, Tsitlik J, et al. Determinants and
772 clinical significance of jugular venous valve competence.
773 *Circulation* 1982;**65**:188–96 827
- 774 24 Harmon JV Jr, Edwards WD. Venous valves in subcla-
775 vian and internal jugular veins. Frequency, position
776 and structure in 100 autopsy cases. *Am J Cardiovasc*
777 *Pathol* 1987;**1**:51–4 828
- 778 25 Nedelmann M, Eicke BM, Dietrich M. Functional and
779 morphological criteria of internal jugular valve insuffi-
780 ciency as assessed by ultrasound. *J Neuroimaging*
781 2005;**15**:70–75 829
- 782 26 Lepori D, Capasso P, Fournier D, Genton CY, Schnyder P.
783 High-resolution ultrasound evaluation of internal
784 jugular valves. *Eur Radiol* 1999;**9**:1222–6 830
- 785 27 Agosti C, Borroni B, Akkawi NM, Padovani A. Cerebro-
786 vascular risk factors and triggers in transient global
787 amnesia patients with and without jugular valve incom-
788 petence: results from a sample of 243 patients. *Eur Neurol*
789 2010;**63**:291–4 831
- 790 28 Chung CP, Hu HH. Jugular venous reflux. *J Med Ultra-*
791 *sound* 2008;**16**:210–22 832
- 792 29 Hsu HY, Chao AC, Chen YY, et al. Reflux of jugular and
793 retrobulbar venous flow in transient monocular blind-
794 ness. *Ann Neurol* 2008;**63**:247–53 833
- 795 30 Chung CP, Hsu HY, Chao AC, Cheng CY, Lin SJ, Hu HH.
796 Jugular venous reflux affects ocular venous system in
797 transient monocular blindness. *Cerebrovasc Dis*
798 2010;**29**:122–9 834
- 799 31 Towbin AJ, Kanal E. A review of two cases of fenestrated
800 internal jugular veins as seen by CT angiography. *AJNR*
801 *Am J Neuroradiol* 2004;**25**:1433–4 835
- 802 32 Rossi A, Tortori-Donati P. Internal jugular vein phlebec-
803 tasia and duplication: case report with magnetic reso-
804 nance angiography features. *Pediatr Radiol* 2001;**31**:134 836
- 805 33 Tsaladze II. The selective phlebography of the large
806 tributaries of the vena cava system in the diagnosis of
807 venous circulatory disorders in the spinal complex.
808 *Zh Vopr Neurokhir Im N N Burdenko* 1999;**2**:8–13 837
- 809 34 Petrov I, Grozdinski L, Kaninski G, Iliev N, Iloska M,
810 Radev A. Safety profile of endovascular treatment for
811 chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in patients
812 with multiple sclerosis. *J Endovasc Ther* 2011;**18**:314–23 838
- 813 35 Yamout B, Herlopian A, Issa Z, et al. Extracranial venous
814 stenosis is an unlikely cause of multiple sclerosis. *Mult*
815 *Scler* 2010;**6**:1341–8 839
- 816 36 Simka M, Latacz P, Ludyga T, et al. Prevalence of extra-
817 cranial venous abnormalities: results from a sample of
818 586 multiple sclerosis patients. *Funct Neurol* 2011;**4** (in
819 press) 840
- 820 37 Al-Omari MH, Rousan LA. Jugular vein morphology and
821 hemodynamics in patients with multiple sclerosis.
822 *Int Angiol* 2010;**29**:115–20 841
- 823 38 Simka M, Kostecki J, Zaniewski M, Majewski E, Hartel M.
824 Extracranial Doppler sonographic criteria of chronic cere-
825 brospinal venous insufficiency in the patients with mul-
826 tiple sclerosis. *Int Angiol* 2010;**29**:109–14 842
- 827 39 Zivadinov R, Marr K, Cutter G, et al. Prevalence, sensi-
828 tivity, and specificity of chronic cerebrospinal venous
829 insufficiency in MS. *Neurology* 2011;**77**:138–44 843
- 830 40 Centonze D, Floris R, Stefani M, et al. Proposed chronic
831 cerebrospinal venous insufficiency criteria do not
832 predict MS risk nor MS severity. *Ann Neurol* 2011; doi:
833 10.1002/ana.22436 844
- 834 41 Baracchini C, Perini P, Calabrese M, Causin F, Rinaldi F,
835 Gallo P. No evidence of chronic cerebrospinal venous
836 insufficiency at multiple sclerosis onset. *Ann Neurol*
837 2011;**69**:90–9 845
- 838 42 Marder E, Gupta P, Greenberg BM, et al. No cerebral or
839 cervical venous insufficiency in US veterans with mul-
840 tiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2011; doi: 10.1001/arch-
841 neurol.2011.185 846
- 842 43 Auriel E, Kami A, Bornstein NM, Nissel T, Gadoth A,
843 Hallevi H. Extra-cranial flow in patients with multiple
844 sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2011; doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2011.07.
845 005 847
- 846 44 Doepp F, Friedemann P, Valdeuza JM, Schmierer K, Schrei-
847 ber SJ. No cerebrocervical venous congestion in patients
848 with multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2010;**68**:173–83 849
- 849 45 Tanaka M, Uchzumi H, Tanaka K. Evaluation of blond
850 flow and the cross-section area of internal jugular vein
851 in Japanese multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica
852 patients. *Clinica Neurol* 2011;**51**:430–2 850
- 853 46 Tsvigoulis G, Mantatzis M, Bogiatzi C, et al. Extracranial
854 venous hemodynamics in multiple sclerosis. A case-
855 control study. *Neurology* 2011;**77**:1241–5 851
- 856 47 Monti L, Menci E, Ulivelli M, et al. Quantitative colour
857 Doppler sonography evaluation of cerebral venous
858 outflow: a comparative study between patients with
859 multiple sclerosis and controls. *PLoS One* 2011;**6**:e25012 852
- 860 48 Mandato KD, Hegener PF, Siskin GP, et al. Safety of
861 endovascular treatment of chronic cerebrospinal
862 venous insufficiency: a report of 240 patients with mul-
863 tiple sclerosis. *J Vasc Intervent Radiol* doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.
864 2011.09.019 853
- 865 49 Gjørup T. The kappa coefficient and the prevalence of a
866 diagnosis. *Meth Inform Med* 1988;**27**:184–6 854
- 867 50 Simka M. Blood brain barrier compromise with endo-
868 thelial inflammation may lead to autoimmune loss of
869 myelin during multiple sclerosis. *Curr Neurovasc Res*
870 2009;**6**:132–9 855
- 871 51 Lane T. Systematic review of sonographic chronic cere-
872 brospinal venous insufficiency findings in multiple
873 sclerosis. *Phlebology* 2012;**20**:26 856
- 874 857
- 875 858
- 876 859
- 877 860
- 878 861
- 879 862
- 880 863

QUERY FORM

Royal Society of Medicine

Journal Title: **PHLEB**

Article No: **11-125**

AUTHOR: The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript. Please answer the queries by making the requisite corrections at the appropriate positions in the text.

Query No.	Nature of Query	Author's Response
Q1	Please provide not more than two qualifications for the corresponding author	
Q2	Please provide complete address including postal code in correspondence address.	
Q3	Please provide city name for Amersham Health AS, Norway.	
Q4	Please provide city and state name for Boston Scientific, Cook Medical Inc. and Abbott Vascular.	
Q5	Please provide city name for B.Braun and Terumo.	
Q6	Please provide manufacturer name and location details for GE LOGIQ-e.	
Q7	As font not clear, please confirm the insertion of "delta CSA" here and elsewhere in the text.	
Q8	Please provide volume and page range in refs. 3, 18, 40, 42, 43 and 48.	
Q9	Please provide the last accessed date for the URL in ref. 9 and 10.	
Q10	Please provide the journal name, year of publication, volume and page number in ref. 10.	
Q11	Please provide page range in ref. 16 and 36.	